



BOOK REVIEW EVALUATION

Book reviewed:		
Reviewer's name:		
Date evaluation received:	Date submitted:	
Would you like us to disclose your name to the authors?	Yes:	No:

Dear Reviewer:

Thank you for evaluating the merit of this manuscript, which seeks to provide a critical and contextualised analysis of the book under review. While the criteria presented here are not intended to constitute a rigid checklist, your comments and recommendations should be aimed at determining whether:

- the manuscript provides sufficient grounds for assessing whether the book under review addresses a significant topic in the current state of knowledge in the discipline and offers novel perspectives or makes a meaningful contribution to relevant debates;
- beyond a descriptive summary, the review provides a well-founded assessment of the strengths, limitations, potential biases or controversies of the book;
- the review situates the book within the existing body of literature and considers its potential practical or theoretical impact or usefulness.

With regard to the Journal, please bear in mind its editorial focus, centred on the *study of the art and cultures of the Indigenous peoples of the Americas, with particular attention to their pre-Columbian visual and material dimensions*. In keeping with this emphasis on the visual, another important consideration is the relevance, technical and artistic quality of the proposal, particularly the inclusion of images (up to three, including the cover of the work) and audiovisual material.

Along with your opinion on whether or not the manuscript should be published, we would appreciate any suggestions you may have for further development, expansion or modification which, within the purpose of this manuscript, you consider necessary to ensure the rigour, coherence and contribution of the manuscript.

Aspects that go beyond the purpose of the review, for example, alternative analyses or analyses addressing other dimensions or topics, other questions, etc., do not, in themselves, constitute a deficiency in the manuscript and should not be taken into account when assessing its suitability for publication. Should you have suggestions in this regard, however, they are most welcome, and space is provided at the end of this Review Form for you to include them.

The use of artificial intelligence in the review process is permitted within the terms established by the *Boletín*, subject to the reviewer’s supervision and critical judgement. The reviewer remains responsible for the evaluation and must safeguard the confidentiality of the manuscript [AI Use Policy].

I. EVALUATION

1. Assessment

We would appreciate it if you could rate the review according to the following criteria:

		VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
1	Contextualization of the book within the current state of the discipline				
2	Concise presentation of the book, including its main ideas				
3	Identification of the author’s central argument or thesis				
4	Assessment of the soundness of the argumentation and methodology employed				
5	A critical and well-founded assessment of the book, identifying its strengths, possible limitations, omissions or biases				
6	Positioning of the book within the broader academic dialogue				
7	Assessment of the book’s contribution to knowledge and its practical or theoretical relevance				

2. Comments

Please indicate any suggestions for improving the review, and provide your comments by specifying the page and, where possible, the paragraph to which each comment refers. You may also make annotations directly on the text you have received.

3. Recommendation

Please select one option. If you do not recommend publication, please provide a rationale for your opinion in Section 2, “Comments”.

- ☐ Accept without revisions.
- ☐ Accept subject to minor revisions.
- ☐ Accept subject to major revisions.
- ☐ Reject.

If you have used artificial intelligence, please briefly indicate the purpose for which it was used and which tool you employed. Otherwise, please leave this field blank:

II. ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS FOR THE AUTHORS

If you have suggestions that go beyond the objectives stated by the authors in the manuscript, we would be grateful if you would include them here. We remind you that the aspects of the work to which these suggestions may refer do not constitute a deficiency in the manuscript under review and should not be taken into account when assessing its suitability for publication.